

REMARKS

The Office Action dated July 20, 2007 has been received and carefully noted. The above amendments to the claims, and the following remarks, are submitted as a full and complete response thereto.

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 4, 14, and 34 have been amended to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention and claims 1, 11, and 23 have been cancelled, without prejudice or disclaimer.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for indicating allowable subject matter in claims 2-3, 6-10, 12-13, and 16-22.

Claims 2-10, 12-22, and 23-35 are pending and under consideration.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112:

In the Office Action, at page 2, claims 4-5, 14-15, and 24-35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for indefiniteness.

In response, the claims have been amended to improve clarity and antecedent support to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the § 112, second paragraph rejections to the claims be withdrawn.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103:

On page 3 of the Office Action, claims 1, 11, and 23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being unpatentable in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0099282 to Magnusson ("Magnusson").

Because independent claims 1, 11, and 23 have been cancelled, without prejudice or disclaimer, it is respectfully asserted that the rejections to independent claims 1, 11, and 23 are rendered moot in view of the references cited.

CONCLUSION:

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention recites subject matter which is neither disclosed nor suggested in the cited prior art. Applicants further submit that the subject matter is more than sufficient to render the claimed invention unobvious to a person of skill in the art. Applicants therefore respectfully request that each of claims 4-5, 14-15, and 23-35 be found allowable and, along with allowed claims 2-3, 6-10, 12-13, and 16-22, this application passed to issue.

If for any reason the Examiner determines that the application is not now in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact, by telephone, the Applicants' undersigned attorney at the indicated telephone number to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this application.

In the event this paper is not being timely filed, the Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time.

Any fees for such an extension together with any additional fees may be charged to Counsel's Deposit Account 50-2222.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'Alicia Choi', written over a horizontal line.

Alicia M. Choi
Registration No. 46,621

Customer No. 32294

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP

14TH Floor

8000 Towers Crescent Drive

Tysons Corner, Virginia 22182-2700

Telephone: 703-720-7800

Fax: 703-720-7802

AMC:dc

Enclosures: Petition for Extension of Time – 1 Month
Check No. 17437